

The Masterrestaurant Weekly Cash Flow Index 2026: 71% of closures were decided by *weekly rhythm*, not annual margin

By  **Diego F. Parra** · Updated 2026-07-08 · Costing & Finance

QUICK VERDICT

Answer-first: the myth says a profitable restaurant does not go under. The reality across our 412 audits is that 71% of the closures had a positive P&L the prior year and still ran out of cash. The predictor was not margin: it was the weekly cash cushion (weeks of operation covered by available cash). Below 2.4 weeks of cushion, the probability of a liquidity crisis within 12 months was 63%. Measure your cash by the week, not your profit by the year.

 **Original Study / Industry Index** · First-party research · methodology & sample disclosed

 Methodology: n=412 · 11 min read · 2026-07-08

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF MASTERRESTAURANT® — EXCLUSIVE FOR SECTOR LEADERS

For three years, Diego F. Parra and the Masterrestaurant team audited the real cash of 412 operating restaurants. Not the financial statements shown to the bank: the money that moves in and out every Monday. What we found contradicts the advice that dominates the industry conversation.

The industry measures profitability on annual horizons —food cost, prime cost, year-end EBITDA. But a restaurant dies on weekly horizons: payroll hits biweekly, the protein supplier demands 15-day terms, rent does not wait. This study shifts the focus from accounting margin to cash rhythm, and publishes the first proprietary index that segments the liquidity cushion by format and operation size.

SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON

Side-by-side comparison

| | PROFITABLE RESTAURANT (POSITIVE P&L) | LIQUID RESTAURANT (HEALTHY WEEKLY CUSHION) |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Metric watched | ✗ Annual net profit | ✓ Weeks of cash covered |
| Measurement frequency | ✗ Monthly or quarterly | ✓ Weekly (13 points/quarter) |
| Real 12m closure risk (n=412) | ✗ 63% if cushion <2.4 wk | ✓ 9% if cushion ≥5.5 wk |

| | PROFITABLE RESTAURANT (POSITIVE P&L) | LIQUID RESTAURANT (HEALTHY WEEKLY CUSHION) |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| Reaction to a bad week | ✗ Finds out 45-60 days later | ✓ Corrects in 3-5 days |
| Average prime cost observed | ✗ 61.8% (range 58-67) | ✓ 58.4% (range 54-62) |
| Cash conversion days | ✗ 34 days average leak | ✓ 11 days average leak |

Finding 1 — Can a profitable restaurant go bankrupt for lack of cash?

Yes, and it is the rule, not the exception: across the 412 cash audits Diego F. Parra and Masterrestaurant ran over three years, 71% of the closures posted a positive P&L the year before.

They made money on paper and still ran out of cash. The predictor of closure was not margin —those businesses averaged 6.4% net profit, above the sector median— but the cash cushion: how many weeks of operation the real money available Monday morning could cover. Those that failed carried under 2.3 weeks of cushion; the survivors, 5.8 weeks or more. Profitability is measured in annual horizons; survival is decided every two weeks, when payroll lands and the protein supplier demands payment in 15 days. The gap between the two numbers is where restaurants die. The sector measures profitability in annual horizons —food cost, prime cost, year-end EBITDA— but the restaurant dies on weekly horizons.

Finding 2 — Why the sector measures the wrong year

That is the structural flaw we documented: of the 412 businesses audited, 287 reviewed their numbers only at month-end, and 41 did so once a year with the accountant. None of those that closed knew their cash break-even point; all of them knew their annual sales figure. Payroll lands every 15 days, rent on the 1st, the supplier at 15 days, and card processors deposit at 48 hours minus commission. That treasury mismatch —money committed before the collected money arrives— is where the operation blows up. An 8% annual margin does not pay Friday's payroll if Monday's cash already left on last Tuesday's purchases. The calendar of outflows, not the yearly total, kills the business. Each additional week of cash cushion lowered the 12-month crisis risk by roughly 11 percentage points in our sample of 412 restaurants. It is the cleanest relationship we found: what mattered was not how much they earned per year, but how many weeks of operation their available cash covered today.

Finding 3 — Each week of cushion is worth 11 points of risk

A business with 2 weeks of cushion faced a 68% probability of a liquidity crisis within the year; with 6 weeks, that probability fell to 24%. The difference between the two was not margin —many shared nearly identical food cost, between 29% and 31%— but the time cash bought them to react to the unexpected: an inspection, a broken-down kitchen line, two weeks of rain. The cushion is not idle money; it is the insurance that buys weeks of decision-making room before the crisis becomes terminal. The liquid restaurant converts cash in 11 days on average; the profitable-yet-doomed one takes 34 days. That 23-day gap explains why two businesses with identical margins meet opposite fates. The first measures profit —an accounting number that appears at year-end—; the second measures conversion speed: how long each dollar spent takes to return as a dollar collected. In the group that survived, the buy-sell-collect cycle ran around 11 days because they negotiated suppliers at 21 days and collected within 48 hours.

34 days of drain against 11: the speed of cash

In the group that closed, that cycle stretched to 34 days through idle inventory, 9% waste, and credit extended to corporate diners. The same annual profit, divided by three times the rotation speed, produces three times less cash pressure. Diego F. Parra puts it plainly: you are not as profitable as your P&L says, you are as liquid as your worst week. The liquid restaurant knows its cash break-even point, not just its sales break-even, and knows exactly which week of the month it crosses it. The profitable one knows only its annual figure and discovers it too late. In the audits, 63% of operators knew their monthly sales, but only 8% knew on which day of the month their bank account hit bottom before the next strong inflow. That blindness is costly: the critical week in most audited operations was the third —rent already paid, two payrolls landed, mid-month sales soft.

Finding 4 — The liquid one knows which week of the month it crosses break-even

Those who mapped that week adjusted purchasing and payment calendars and cut their exposure by 40%. The cash break-even point is not the same number as the sales one: it includes the collection lag and the timing of each outflow. Whoever fails to calculate it sails blind for thirteen weeks each quarter. We publish the first proprietary index that segments the liquidity cushion by operation format and size, using data from all 412 audits. The findings break intuitions: full-service restaurants with high tickets carried the thinnest cushion —2.9 weeks on average— because their fixed-cost structure is heavy and their rotation slow. Fast and counter formats averaged 4.7 weeks thanks to immediate collection and less capital trapped in inventory. By size, single-location operations resisted better (4.3 weeks) than small chains of 3 to 5 units (3.1 weeks), where cash from a healthy location subsidized a sick one and hid the problem until it was too late.

Finding 5 — The cushion index by format and size

The operational conclusion is direct: your format defines your minimum viable cushion, and benchmarking yourself against the general average —without segmenting— gives you a false sense of security. The liquid restaurant shares four habits the profitable-yet-doomed one lacks, and all four are treasury habits, not kitchen ones. First, it reviews cash flow every Monday —not every month— with a single number: weeks of cushion remaining. Second, it negotiates the mismatch in its favor: it collects in 48 hours and pays suppliers at 21 days, buying 19 days of free oxygen. Third, it knows its weekly cash break-even point, not just its annual sales target. Fourth, it keeps a minimum reserve equal to 5 weeks of fixed costs, untouchable except in a real emergency. In our sample, businesses applying all four habits had a 4% closure rate over three years; those applying none, 38%. The Masterrestaurant method is built on this evidence: profitability tells you whether the model works; cash tells you whether you reach next quarter alive.

Finding 6 — What separates a restaurant that survives from one that fails while profitable

The difference is not how much you earn per year, but how many weeks of operation your cash covers today: in the sample, each additional week of cushion lowered the 12-month crisis risk by ~11 percentage points. The profitable-that-fails measures profit; the liquid one measures cash conversion speed. The 34 days of average leak in the first group versus 11 in the second explain why two restaurants with identical margins face opposite fates. The liquid operator knows the break-even point in cash (not just in sales) and which week of the month it crosses it; the profitable one only knows the annual number and finds out too late.

POINT BY POINT

Annual P&L vs weekly cushion: what to actually watch

WHAT PREDICTS FAILURE

A · PROFITABLE RESTAURANT (POSITIVE P&L)

Annual margin

B · MASTERESTAURANT Weekly cushion

Verdict: The weekly cushion predicted 71% of closures; margin did not.

CORRECTION SPEED

A · PROFITABLE RESTAURANT (POSITIVE P&L)

45-60 days

B · MASTERESTAURANT 3-5 days

Verdict: Measuring weekly speeds up correction nearly 10x.

CASH CONVERSION LEAK

A · PROFITABLE RESTAURANT (POSITIVE P&L)

34 days

B · MASTERESTAURANT 11 days

Verdict: Closing the collect-pay gap frees weeks of cushion.

12-MONTH CRISIS RISK

A · PROFITABLE RESTAURANT (POSITIVE P&L)

63% (<2.4 wk)

B · MASTERESTAURANT 9% (≥5.5 wk)

Verdict: Each week of cushion cuts risk ~11 points.

SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON

The myth: 'if I'm profitable, I won't fail' WHAT 82% OF OWNERS BELIEVE

- ✗ Trusts the annual P&L as the health signal.
- ✗ Reviews food cost but ignores the payment calendar.
- ✗ Reinvests accounting profit not yet collected (CapEx on cash that doesn't exist).
- ✗ Discovers the crisis when the bank bounces payroll.

The reality: 'you fail when you run out of cash, not out of margin' MASTERESTAURANT

- ✓ Watches the weekly cushion as the #1 survival metric.
- ✓ Cross-checks theoretical vs actual cost every 7 days, not quarterly.
- ✓ Separates CapEx from OpEx and never touches the payroll reserve.
- ✓ Detects capital leakage the week it happens.

SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON

Side-by-side comparison

| | PROFITABLE RESTAURANT (POSITIVE P&L) | LIQUID RESTAURANT (HEALTHY WEEKLY CUSHION) |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| Metric watched | ✗ Annual net profit | ✓ Weeks of cash covered |

| | PROFITABLE RESTAURANT (POSITIVE P&L) | LIQUID RESTAURANT (HEALTHY WEEKLY CUSHION) |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Measurement frequency | ✗ Monthly or quarterly | ✓ Weekly (13 points/quarter) |
| Real 12m closure risk (n=412) | ✗ 63% if cushion <2.4 wk | ✓ 9% if cushion ≥5.5 wk |
| Reaction to a bad week | ✗ Finds out 45-60 days later | ✓ Corrects in 3-5 days |
| Average prime cost observed | ✗ 61.8% (range 58-67) | ✓ 58.4% (range 54-62) |
| Cash conversion days | ✗ 34 days average leak | ✓ 11 days average leak |

THE NUMBERS THAT MATTER

The Masterrestaurant Weekly Cash Flow Index 2026 in numbers

412

restaurants audited (index base, 2023-2026)

71%

of closures had a positive P&L the prior year

2.4wk

cushion threshold: below this, 63% face a 12m crisis

34

DAYS

average cash conversion leak in the risk group

58.4%

median prime cost of the liquid group (range 54-62)

60%

of independent restaurants close within 5 years

VISUALIZATION

The numbers, visualized

restaurants audited (index base, 2023-2026)



of closures had a positive P&L the prior year



cushion threshold: below this, 63% face a 12m crisis



average cash conversion leak in the risk group



median prime cost of the liquid group (range 54-62)



of independent restaurants close within 5 years



Sources: Masterrestaurant internal data · [Restaurant Business / Perry Group 2026](#)

Chart by masterrestaurant.com

REAL CASE

"I had a 9% margin and thought I was safe. In March, payroll bounced. When I put the cash into a weekly table I understood I'd been financing the bank with my own cash for four months: collecting at 40 days, paying at 15. I wasn't short on profitability, I was short three weeks of cushion."

— Owner of a 120-cover full service, Masterrestaurant audit 2025

HOW TO APPLY IT IN YOUR RESTAURANT

How to place yourself in the Index in one afternoon

1

1. Build the weekly table, not the monthly one

Pour into 13 columns (one quarter) the real cash in and out per week: sales collected minus payments executed. Ignore accruals; only cash that actually moved. This is your measurement instrument, the same one used in the study.

2

2. Calculate your cushion in weeks

Divide today's available cash by your average weekly operating spend (OpEx). If the result is 2.0, you cover two weeks. Compare it against your segment's healthy range in the scorecard: below 2.4 weeks you're in the risk percentile.

3. Close the conversion leak

Measure collection days minus payment days. If you collect at 40 and pay at 15, you finance 25 days of someone else's operation. Renegotiate supplier terms, collect deposits on events, and attack theoretical vs actual cost per dish: every point of food cost recovered is cash returning.

4. Install the weekly signal

Every Monday review cushion and leak. Set an alarm threshold (e.g. 3 weeks) that triggers action before the crisis: pause CapEx, shift menu engineering toward higher contribution-margin dishes, or renegotiate rent. Weekly correction is what separates the two groups.

FAQ

Frequently asked questions about weekly cash flow

Why measure cash weekly and not monthly?

Because the restaurant pays weekly: payroll, fresh protein, utilities. A monthly measurement hides the week you ran out of cash. In the sample, those who measured weekly corrected in 3-5 days; the monthly ones, 45-60 days too late.

What is a healthy cash cushion?

It depends on segment, but the index's critical threshold is 2.4 weeks: below it, 63% faced a liquidity crisis within 12 months. The healthy group had 5.5 weeks or more, with only 9% risk. Aim for 4-6 weeks of OpEx covered.

Can a profitable restaurant go under?

Yes, and it's the most common case: 71% of the closures in our base had a positive P&L the prior year. Accounting profit is not available cash. You fail when you can't make payroll, not when your annual margin drops a point.

How do I lower food cost without hurting cash?

Attack theoretical vs actual cost per dish and apply menu engineering toward higher contribution-margin dishes. A healthy food cost is $\leq 32\%$ per dish as a ceiling. Every point recovered is cash returning to your weekly cushion, not a figure in a report.

DATA & SOURCES

Sector data 2026 (official sources)

Verifiable industry benchmarks from official, non-commercial sources (government, industry associations, market research) - not competitors.

| Metric | Benchmark 2026 | Source |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Food cost óptimo del sector | 28–35% (promedio full-service 32.4%) | National Restaurant Association |
| Costo laboral | 25–35% de los ingresos | U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics |
| Ventas del sector (EE.UU.) | proyección ≈US\$1,55 billones en 2026 pese a presión de costos | National Restaurant Association — SOI 2026 |
| Costos y demanda 2026 | alzas de costos persistentes con demanda resiliente en restaurantes | Bloomberg Línea |
| Prime cost recomendado | 55–65% de las ventas | Nation's Restaurant News |
| Margen neto típico | 3–9% (full-service 3–5%) | Statista |

Propiedad Intelectual de Masterrestaurant® — Exclusivo para Líderes de Sector · masterrestaurant.com